conducting development and fixing. The holograms maintained a stable image for a long period of three months or more, after peeling the glass plate. The records were formed with the refractive index modulation, but not unevenness on the recording layer, and transparent holograms having substantially no absorption in the visible region were obtained.

On the other hand, all the holograms obtained in Comparative Examples exhibited only a diffraction efficiency of less than 10%.

Evaluation of performance

The diffraction efficiency of each transmission type hologram obtained in the above-mentioned Examples 45-71 and Comparative Examples 1-5 was calculated by determining a ratio of diffracted light to incident light with a light power meter (OPTICAL POWER/ENERGYMETER, MODEL 66XLA produced by PHOTODYNE Co., Ltd.) by the following equation.

Diffraction efficiency (%) = (diffracted light intensity/incident light intensity) \times 100

The diffraction efficiency of the reflection type hologram was determined by measuring transmittance with an ultraviolet spectrophotometer ("V-550" produced by Nippon Spectroscopy Co., Ltd.).

The obtained results in the above-mentioned Examples 45-71 and Comparative Examples 1-5 are shown by Tables 4 to 6.

						Ţ	Table 4										
								T	Example		-			01	2	9	6.1
	74	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	22	26	57	28	60	3	10
Allel-kocod propolymor (A)	OF.	2							-			1	1	1	14	K	10
Auyi Dasea prepayment ax	۲.	25	5	က	7.5			2	<u>ت</u>	2	2	c	0				
DAFA						5					1						
DAIL							5				+						
Radical polymerizable									, <u> </u>								
compound (b1)	-	c	-	10	10			0.5	3							0.5	0.5
ASF400		5	4		C:T	2.5	2.5									,	
BPEFA										2				2		0.5	
MPSMA											2				2	0.5	0.5
BR-30												2				0.5	
SR-804													2		_	0.5	
NVC																	
Radical polymerizable																	
Collipound (0.2)								3	0.5					1.5	1.5		C.T
DBVP																	
Nonreactive viscosity														:]	,	G
reducing again (c.t.)		G	-	6	-	9.5	2.5	1.5	1.5	က	33	3	3	1.5	1.5	2.5	7
SDE	4	7	Ţ 	7	-	2.7	2	• 1									
Polymerization initiator				1	1	1	A)	n n	2 2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
BTTB-25	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	5.5		0.0	200	222						
Dye							100	100	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
NK4795	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	70.0	12.5						
Solvent						,			C	ن	٤	9	9	9	9	9	9
Acetone	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	٥	0			, C	C	С	С	0	0
Diffraction efficiency (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	O))))))))))		
transmission type					(C	C	C	С	С	0	0	0	0
Diffraction efficiency (%)	0	0	0	0)))))))))				
reflection type																	
	×	\times less than 10%		0:30%	O: 30% or more	مة											

 \times : less than 10% O : 30% or more

NK4795 Solvent

Acetone

Diffraction efficiency (%)

Diffraction efficiency (%)

transmission type

reflection type

Table 5 Example 69 70 71 68 66 67 65 62 63 64 Allyl-based prepolymer (A) 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 DAPA Radical polymerizable compound (b1) 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 ASF400 Radical polymerizable compound (b2) DBVP Nonreactive viscosity reducing agent (e1) 2.5 ADE 2.5 <u>ADB</u> 2.5 SDB 2.5 PDM2.5 PDB 2.5 PDO (Meth)allyl-based viscosity reducing agent (e2) 2.5 DAPM2.5 DAIM 2.5 DATM 2.5 ADA Polymerization initiator 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 BTTB-25 Dye 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01

×: less than 10% O: 30% or more

6

0

O

6

0

0

6

0

0

6

0

0

6

0

0

6

0

O

6

0

O

6

0

0

6

0

0

6

0

0